Summary of June 18, 2020
Countywide Citizen Advisory Committee Meeting #7
Electronic Meeting

The meeting was called to order at 4:02 p.m. by Andy Davis, CCAC Chair.

CCAC Members Present	CCAC Commissioners Absent	Staff Present	Public Present
Andy Davis	Harold Gable	Gail Henrikson	Diane Jette
Jan Mitchell	Andrea Mazzarella	Julia Decker	Comm. Lianne Thompson
Patrick Corcoran			Barbara Fryer
Robert Stricklin			Chris Farrar
Theodore Lundy			Lisa Phipps
Cheryl Johnson			Chad Washington
Jim Alegria			Jed Arnold
			Nancy Ferber

Committee members James Coughlin and Ron Weber have resigned.

Welcome and Introductions

The CCAC members, staff and members of the public introduced themselves.

Overview of GoTo Meeting:

Ms. Henrikson provided a brief overview of the functions of GoTo Meeting. Some of the committee members expressed a need to have a "Raise Hand" feature. The committee discussed how to be recognized to speak by the chair. Ms. Henrikson reminded everyone that in order to reduce feedback everyone should place their devices on mute when they were not speaking.

Update on Schedule:

Ms. Henrikson explained the revised work schedule to the committee. She stated that the process is now scheduled to run through December 2022. Ms. Henrikson stated that during the meeting hiatus, staff had forwarded drafts of revised goals 1-4 to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). She advised the committee that DLCD had forwarded comments back to the county for Goals 1, 3 and 4 and that those comments had been posted on the County's website for public review and comment. She stressed that these were only initial drafts of the revised goals.

Ms. Henrikson also explained steps that staff would be taking to reduce paperwork for committee members to try to streamline the process. She stated that staff would still provide links to primary source documents, but would try to summarize the main points that committee needed to be aware of. She also advised the committee members that the meetings would remain virtual for the foreseeable future and that there wouldn't be live in-person workshops.

Ms. Henrikson also showed the committee the storymap and questionnaire that Planner Ian Sisson had prepared for the website. She also emphasized that staff would encourage committee members to focus on the worksheets that staff provided with each packet. Those worksheets should be used to identify which existing goals in the comprehensive plan should be deleted, revised or left as-is.

The committee members stated that they wanted to schedule time during their meetings to go back over the revisions to goals 1 through 4. Ms. Mitchell emphasized her desire to be able to bounce ideas off of the other committee members.

Mr. Lundy observed that while there are a great many public interest sites along Highways 30 and 101, but that there was almost nothing in the interior of the county. He added that there is a great deal in the center of the county that would be of value to citizens. He asked how that language could be incorporated into the comprehensive plan.

Chad Washington asked Mr. Lundy for clarification as to whether he was asking the timber companies to provide recreational and camping sites on their properties. Mr. Lundy responded that perhaps the County could purchase land from the timber companies in order to provide those amenities.

Mr. Davis asked if Ms. Henrikson could provide an example of the worksheet she had discussed, as he did not recall ever seeing one. Ms. Henrikson described the worksheet and explained that the worksheets had been provided in each of the agenda packages for goals 1-5. Ms. Henrikson also discussed providing the committees with a separate worksheet where members could identify new issues that needed to be addressed in the comprehensive plan and corresponding policies to address those issues.

The committee members continued to discuss that concept.

Mr. Stricklin expressed concern that the process was being dumbed down from 500 pages to 20 pages and that that would remove 90% of the public process. Ms. Henrikson restated that links would be provided to primary source documents so that those who wanted to read through the entire documents would be able to do so. Ms. Henrikson also stated that if the consensus of the committee was to continue to receive all the documents, that staff would be able to print those out and provide those to the committee members.

Ms. Johnson questioned why the committee was using a software platform that did not have a “raise hand” function. Ms. Henrikson stated that the County’s IT department had reviewed various platforms and that this was the one that was recommended. She stated that if the committee wanted a different platform, then Community Development would purchase a separate subscription to a different platform to accommodate them.

The committee continued to discuss how the process would work and the committees resumed meeting and what the role of the countywide committee would be.

Mr. Davis asked staff if it was possible to have an extra meeting every month for a workshop, in addition to the committee’s regular meeting? Mr. Stricklin asked what the difference would be between a workshop and a regular meeting. The committee continued to discuss how to best review the revisions to each goal as they were drafted. The consensus of the committee was to not schedule an extra meeting each month.

Establish Regular Meeting Date and Time:
The committee agreed to continue to meet on the third Thursday of each month. However, they requested a Doodle poll in order to determine a new time for the meeting. Ms. Henrikson stated that staff would send a poll out to the committee members in the morning.

Public Comment and Input:
There was no additional public comment or input.

Closing Comments and Adjournment:
There were no closing comments by either staff or the committee members.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:09pm.